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## Accountability Alphabet Soup

## Proficiency

- 5 Achievement Levels
- Levels 4 \& 5 = College \& Career Readiness Standard
- Levels 3,4 \& 5 = Grade Level Proficiency Standard
- School Performance Grades A-F
- 80\% Achievement Score and 20\% Growth Score
- School meets (exceeds) growth and growth lowers final score and grade, use achievement score only
- Set on a 15 Point Scale
- Scheduled School Report Card Date: November 29, 2017
- EOG-End-of-Grade Test (Grades 3-8)
- EOC- End-of-Course Test (High School Math I, English II and Biology)


## How did North Carolina schools fare in 2016-17?

- Public schools had a lower percentage of D's and F's than charter schools (22.5\% vs. 25.2\%).
- Charters had a higher percentage of A's and B's than public schools (43.5\% vs. 35.2\%).
- NC's two virtual charter schools each earned a D performance grade and failed to meet growth benchmarks
- Schools with greater poverty had more C's, D's, and F's than schools with less poverty.
- $98 \%$ schools that received an F had $50 \%$ or more poverty.
- This is the first year that school grades will be used to determine which schools will be taken over by the state in the Innovative School District.

Grades by School Poverty Percentage


Figure 14. Bar graph showing school performance grades by school poverty percentage

## Poverty

- A note on Poverty's Enduring Hold on School Success

2004 ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS $\quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.6618$


2014 ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.6691$


## Poverty

- Money, Race and Success: How Your School District Compare


## Educational attainment in each school district in the U.S.



## Montgomery County School Performance Grades

- B
- East Montgomery High
$\rightarrow \quad \mathrm{C}$
- Green Ridge
- Page Street
- Mount Gilead
- Candor
- Star
- West Montgomery High
- D
- East Middle
- West Middle

Grades \& Cut Scores created on a 15-point scale:
$A=85-100$
$B=70-84$
$C=55-69$
$D=40-54$
$\mathrm{F}=0-39$

- MLA- Alternative Accountability Model
- Troy-N/A
- No "F" schools
- Approximately $80 \%$ of our schools earned a grade of "C" or better


## Montgomery County Schools Growth Statuses

- Eight schools met or exceeded expected growth (80\%)
- Candor Elementary
- Green Ridge Elementary
- Mount Gilead Elementary*
- Page Street Elementary
- Star Elementary
- East Middle
- East Montgomery High*
- West Montgomery High
- Only two schools did not meet growth
- Montgomery Learning Academy **
- West Middle


## 2015-2016 Montgomery County Schools EVAAS Report

Exceeds Expected Growth: Progress is significantly above the average district in the state.
Meets Expected Growth: Progress is not detectably different from the average district in the state.
Does Not Meet Expected Growth: Progress is significantly below the average district in the state
OR
EOG Math, EOG Reading and K-mClass
B Significant evidence that the district's students made more progress than the Growth Standard
G
Evidence that the district's students made progress similar to the Growth Standard.
R
Significant evidence that the district's students made less progress than the Growth Standard.


Overall, we met or exceeded expected growth for Grade 5 Math, Math I; Reading in Grades 4, 5, 6, 7 and English II; and Science Grade 5 and Biology. Our greatest opportunities for improvement are 3-8 Math and $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade. This growth is reflected via $70 \%$ of our schools meeting or exceeding growth.

## 2016-2017 Montgomery County Schools EVAAS Report

Exceeds Expected Growth: Progress is significantly above the average district in the state.
Meets Expected Growth: Progress is not detectably different from the average district in the state.
Does Not Meet Expected Growth: Progress is significantly below the average district in the state
OR
EOG Math, EOG Reading and K-mClass
B Significant evidence that the district's students made more progress than the Growth Standard
G Evidence that the district's students made progress similar to the Growth Standard.
R
Significant evidence that the district's students made less progress than the Growth Standard.

| Test | Does Not Meet Expected Growth | Meets Expected Growth | Exceeds Expected Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Math EOG |  | 1.0 |  |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Math EOG |  | 0.4 |  |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Math EOG |  | 0.6 | , |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Math EOG |  | 0.9 | , |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Math EOG |  |  | 3.3 |
| Math IEOC |  |  | 3.1 |
| 3rd Reading EOG |  |  | -0.4 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Reading EOG |  |  | 1.1 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Reading EOG |  | 0.4 |  |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Reading EOG | -1.6 |  |  |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Reading EOG |  | -0.1 |  |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Reading EOG | -1.1 |  |  |
| English II EOC |  | -0.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Science EOG |  | -0.4 |  |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Science EOG | -0.8 |  |  |
| Biology EOC |  | -0.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ACT Composite* |  |  |  |
| ACT English |  |  |  |
| ACT Reading |  |  |  |
| ACT Math |  |  |  |
| ACT Science |  |  |  |

Overall, Grades 3-8 Math growth exceeds at 1.2, Math I growth exceeds, Grades 3-8 Reading met growth at 0.0, Grade 5 Science and Biology met growth, The ACT Composite, ACT English, ACT Reading, ACT Math and ACT Science growth measures have yet to be reported, however; we declined in overall composite by 1\% which is consistent with $.9 \%$ which is consistent with the States decline of $1 \%$, but we increased $3-8 \%$ in several subgroups, and on all subtests (15.5 to 17.8 ). Our greatest opportunities for improvement are middle grades reading (note: last year is was 3 Math ) and science. This growth is reflected via $80 \%$ of our schools meeting or exceeding growth and one of three schools exiting low-performing school status.

High School Competitive Comparison 2016-2017

| School | School <br> Grade | Growth <br> Status | EVAAS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| East Montgomery | B | Exceeded | 8.66 |
| West Montgomery | C | Met | 1.21 |
| Gray Stone Day | B | Not Met | -5.13 |
| Uwharrie Charter | C | Not Met | -7.59 |

High School Competitive Comparison 2015-2016

| School | School <br> Grade | Growth <br> Status | EVAAS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| East Montgomery | B | Exceeded | 9.69 |
| West Montgomery | C | Exceeded | 2.33 |
| Gray Stone Day | A | Not Met | -2.86 |
| Uwharrie Charter | C | Not Met | -2.76 |

High School Competitive Comparison 2014-2015

| School | School <br> Grade | Growth <br> Status | EVAAS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| East Montgomery | B | Exceeded | 9.9 |
| West Montgomery | C | Not Met | -3.66 |
| Gray Stone Day | A | Met | -1.32 |
| Uwharrie Charter | D | Not Met | -3.62 |

## Economically Disadvantaged Data

Free/Reduced Lunch Percentages


## Comparison Sample
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## Grade 3 Reading



## Grade 4 Reading



## Grade 5 Reading

70
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## Grade 6 Reading



## Grade 7 Reading
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## Grade 8 Reading
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## Grade 3 Math
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## Grade 4 Math
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## Grade 5 Math
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## Grade 6 Math



## Grade 7 Math
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## Grade 8 Math
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## Grade 5 Science
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## Grade 8 Science
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## Math I



## English II
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## Biology



## Math Course Rigor

95.5


- NC


## Four-year Cohort Graduation Rate
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## ACT

80
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## WorkKeys
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## What does the data tell us?

Over the past years we have struggled with proficiency in the lower grades, even compared to our closest comparative districts; however, the gap is closed by the time students leave high school. Overall, our students enter significantly behind, but we catch them up and outperform our competitors by the time they leave us. Montgomery County Public Schools is the best choice for the children, families, businesses, and friends of Montgomery County!

## Analysis

What's going well...

- Exceeded Growth in Grade 8 Math, Math I, Grade 3 Reading and Grade 4 Reading.
- Met Growth I Grade 4, 5 and 6 Math; Grade 5 and 7 Reading, English II, Grade 5 Science and Biology.
- Cohort Graduation Rate is a Historic high at 89.9 (90\%)
- Met Math Course Rigor Rate
- Out-performed economic affinity counties (i.e., 70\%+ Free/Reduced lunch) on the ACT and WorkKeys (National tests that consistently measure Career and College Readiness)
- Eight Schools met or exceeded expected growth (80\%)
- Candor Elementary
- Green Ridge Elementary
- Mount Gilead Elementary*
- Page Street Elementary
- Star Elementary
- East Middle
- East Montgomery High*
- West Montgomery High


## Continuous Improvement Amplified

- Strategic Plan-Board of Education

Diversity \& Inclusion Plan-Central Office

- Departmental Improvement PlansCentral Office
-School Improvement Plans-School Level
-Goal Teams- ELA and Math
-Professional Learning
Communities
-Lesson Plans-Classroom Level
-Multi-tier Systems of Support (MTSS)-Student Level
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## Question, Answer and Comment Session

